13 February 1959

Special Assistant, CR

: Comparison of Air Force and CIA Coding of Air Information Reports - Status Report as of 6 February. SUBJECT

1.	Same	mary for the Period 9 - 13 Feb	Bocuments with Coding Errors			
	6. .	Documents Reviewed 337		By AFCIN 68 (20%)	By CIA 11 (3%)	
	b.	Estimated number of codes	Coding Errors			
		[3 codes per document)	•	By AFCIN 76 (7.5%)	By CIA 11 (1.1%)	
2.	Bre	akdowns of CIA errors:				
	A.	Incorrect codes		5		
	ъ.	Significant information not coded.	Total	<u>6</u> 11		
3.	Bre	akdown of AFCIN errors:			•	
	8.	Incorrect subject codes		30		
	b.	Significant information not coded.		to.		
	c.	Differences in AFCIN and CIA coding philosophy	fotal	-6 76		

Approved For Release 2006/09/27:

-2-

4. Comments:

- a. The number of Air Force errors due to generalized coding has become almost negligible. In most cases proper breakdowns of overall codes have been used.
- b. Incorrect codes appear to be due to hasty reading of the documents, so that the analyst fails to realize the overall meaning of the information. Often the analyst will choose a code that fits a key word in the document, but fails to convey the real meaning of the document. In other cases, the analyst misinterprets information in such a way that it is evident he has only scanned the document hastily.
- c. Most of the significant information which is not coded is of an economic, political, or sociological type. With the forthcoming reduction in Air Force reporting of economic, and political information, this will not be a problem in the future. Technical and military information is usually fully coded.
- d. Differences in coding philosophy result in relatively few errors.

 Air Force coders do not cross reference particular codes to other codes as is CIA custom. They seem to be unfamiliar with the standard codes circulated in CIA on coding memos, although they receive a copy of each of these memos.
- e. It is interesting to note that the use of clear text coding is restricted to code names for types of aircraft, place names, and an occasional industrial term.

 25X1

2	met with Major Melson and Mr. Stein (AFCIN 1B-2) on 9 February
7∗	SEC STATE OF
	to discuss errors consistently made by AFCIN coders and to devise means
	amore amore that they can not afford the margin of error
	of correction. AFCIN agreed that they can not afford the margin of error
	that we have found. They have since the meeting instituted a program of
	with the same want was true
	rigorous review the fruits of which we may see within the next week or two.

									25X1		
		-							Chief	, Document	Division
25V1											

cc: Analysis Branch

Prepared by

Approved For Release 2006/09/27 : CIA-RDP67-00896R000100090107-6